

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2007) KLR VOL 6 PART 239 pp. 2569 - 2762

JUNE 2007

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Akinduro v. Alaya p. 2569
2. Kashadadi v. Noma p. 2593
3. M/V Gongola Hope v. Smurfit Cases Ltd p. 2609
4. Ugwu v. Ararume p. 2635

ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2007) 6 KLR

APPEALS - Ground of appeal - Nature of - Mere assertion that it is based on error of law - Is of no value - If it is found to be of mixed law and facts (H1) Kashadadi v. Noma p. 2593

APPEALS - Ground of appeal - Nature of - Whether of law, fact or mixed law and fact - Is determined by a consideration of the ground - And the particulars of error (H2) Kashadadi v. Noma p. 2593

APPEALS - Grounds of appeal - Evidence - Where grounds of appeal are centred on issues of evidence - They cannot be of law exclusively - Seeing that evidence is a factual matter (H3) Kashadadi v. Noma p. 2593

APPEALS - Issues - Fresh issue - Carriage of goods by sea - Issue of limitation of liability and defence open to appellants - Not being raised before lower court - Is a fresh issue that requires leave of court (H2) M/V Gongola Hope v. Smurfit Cases Ltd p. 2609

APPEALS - Issues - Grounds of appeal - Issues for determination - Should be distilled from the grounds of appeal - And be in consonance with it (H1) M/V Gongola Hope v. Smurfit Cases Ltd p. 2609

APPEALS - Issues - Grounds of appeal - Issues for determination - Must relate to the grounds of cross appeal - And flow from the judgment - In order to be competent (H6) M/V Gongola Hope v. Smurfit Cases Ltd p. 2609

APPEALS - New issue - Evidence - Supreme Court will not allow a new issue - Save substantial points of law are involved - And there is evidence in support of that issue - Without need for further evidence (H4) M/V Gongola Hope v. Smurfit Cases Ltd p. 2609

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Appeals - Competence - Supreme Court - Where appeal does not lie as of right - Vide s. 213(2) 1979 Constitution -

Failure to obtain leave renders it incompetent - As the court lacks jurisdiction (H4) Kashadadi v. Noma p. 2593

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Supremacy - Political party - Internal regulations of - Cannot deny any person right of access to court - In view of supremacy of the Constitution (H8) Ugwu v. Ararume p. 2635

CONTRACTS - Admission - Carriage of goods - Limitation of liability - Admission of loss of goods - Without offering explanation to proved fraud or negligence - Makes the Hague Rules and the per package limitation inapplicable (H5) M/V Gongola Hope v. Smurfit Cases Ltd p. 2609

ELECTIONS - Primaries - Candidates - Power of political party - To change name of candidate previously forwarded to 2nd respondent - Must be subject to cogent and verifiable reasons - Pursuant to s. 34 (2) Electoral Act 2006 (H6) Ugwu v. Ararume p. 2635

EVIDENCE - Admissibility - Documents - Documents made in anticipation of a suit - By an interested party - Are inadmissible (H10) Ugwu v. Ararume p. 2635

EVIDENCE - Admissibility - Estoppel - Document admitted by consent of parties - Will not enjoy the doctrine of estoppel - Where it is absolutely made inadmissible by a statute (H11) Ugwu v. Ararume p. 2635

JUDGMENTS - Appeals - Cross appeal - Where Court of Appeal held that respondents proved all their claims - It ought to award the claims as pleaded and proved (H7) M/V Gongola Hope v. Smurfit Cases Ltd p. 2609

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Supreme Court - Previous decisions of - Invitation to follow or overrule them - Will not be granted - Where they were properly decided - Based on their peculiar facts (H9) Ugwu v. Ararume p. 2635

iv INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2007) 6 KLR

LAND LAW - Sale - Instrument of sale - That is not registered - Is inadmissible though pleaded - And will be expunged if mistakenly admitted (H3) Akinduro v. Alaya p. 2569

LAND LAW - Title - Duty of plaintiff - Is to prove his claim by credible evidence - Without necessarily relying on weakness of the defence (H2) Akinduro v. Alaya p. 2569

LAND LAW - Title - Injunction - Where lower Court rightly held - That appellant failed to prove title - Grant of perpetual injunction was wrong (H5) Akinduro v. Alaya p. 2569

LAND LAW - Title - Pleading document as a receipt - Equitable relief - Where tendered document of title - Could ground an equitable relief - Court will not order specific performance - As the relief was not claimed (H4) Akinduro v. Alaya p. 2569

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Presentation of a valid document of title per se - Though one of the ways to prove title - Will only avail after consideration of several factors (H1) Akinduro v. Alaya p. 2569

LEGISLATION - Ouster clause - Electoral Act 2006 s. 34 (2) - If the National Assembly intended to oust court's jurisdiction - They would have used a clear ouster clause (H4) Ugwu v. Ararume p. 2635

PLEADINGS - Jurisdiction - Issues - Appeals - Where appellants led no evidence - In proof of pleaded defence - The pleadings remain dead - Court has no jurisdiction - To go outside the issues before it (H3) M/V Gongola Hope v. Smurfit Cases Ltd p. 2609

STATUTES - Drafting style - Justiciability - Elections - Politics - That the draftsman fails to provide for sanction in every section - Cannot be basis for declaring a section not justiciable (H7) Ugwu v. Ararume p. 2635

STATUTES - Interpretation - Construction - Ambiguity - Is the reason a Judge may seek aid elsewhere - With the primary concern of attaining the Legislature's intention (H2) Ugwu v. Ararume p. 2635

STATUTES - Interpretation - Meaning of the Statute - Must be collected from the plain words - It shall not be based on what is just and expedient (H1) Ugwu v. Ararume p. 2635

STATUTES - Interpretation - Mischief aimed at - How ascertained by the Judge -Is by consideration of how the law stood - What the mischief was - And the remedy provided by the new law (H3) Ugwu v. Ararume p. 2635

WORDS & PHRASES - Shall - Meaning - Though it has various meanings when used in statutes - It ordinarily denotes obligation (H5) Ugwu v. Ararume p. 2635

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979 s. 213 (3) Kashadadi v. Noma p. 2593

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999 s. 233 Kashadadi v. Noma p. 2593

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999 s. 243 (b) Akinduro v. Alaya p. 2569

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999 ss. 6(6),143, 188, 221, 222, 223, 224, and 308 Ugwu v. Ararume p. 2635

Court of Appeal Rules 0.6 r 3 (a) Akinduro v. Alaya p. 2569

vi INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES IN (2007) 6 KLR

Electoral Act 1982 ss. 28, 32, and 34 Ugwu v. Ararume p. 2635

Electoral Act 2002 ss. 23 and 25 Ugwu v. Ararume p. 2635

Electoral Act 2006 ss. 32,34, (1) and (2) Ugwu v. Ararume p. 2635

Evidence Act s. 91 (3) Ugwu v. Ararume p. 2635

Hague Rules Articles 3-6 Clause 8 (2) M/V Gongola Hope v. Smurfit Cases Ltd p. 2609

Land Registration Law of Northern Nigeria s. 15 Akinduro v. Alaya p. 2569